

REMARKS**35 USC § 112**

Claim 10 has been amended to change dependency to overcome the section 112 rejection.

Obviousness Type Double Patenting

A terminal disclaimer is enclosed which Applicant believes fully addresses the double patenting rejection.

Prior Art

Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 14 to recite the language suggested by the Examiner "at least some light of said light produced by said chip emitted from said well at an angular orientation..." Applicant believes this clarification distinguishes the claimed subject matter from the prior art cited by the Examiner.

With regard to independent claim 19, Applicant has amended it to recite "wherein at least some light directly emitted by said semiconductor chip is emitted forward from the semiconductor chip at an angular orientation θ with respect to said longitudinal axis, said angle θ being in the range of from about 30 degrees to about 150 degrees". This language clarifies the angular orientation of the light emitted by the chip prior to it being reflected by a light reflector (if any) in order to more fully distinguish the claimed subject matter from Logan.

Reconsideration of the patent application is requested. If any other fees are due, those fees should be charged to deposit account no. 50-0581.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of February, 2005.



Daniel P. McCarthy
Reg. No. 36,600
PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
(801) 532-1234